

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,277	07/19/2001	Glenn W. Gale	BUR92000136US1	2205
7:	590 10/27/2003		EXAM	INER
Burton A. Amernick Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP P.O. Box 19088 Washington, DC 20036-3425			WINTER, GENTLE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	, 7.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/909,277	GALE ET AL.				
1	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gentle E. Winter	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) It they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi 	dered but does NOT place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		•				
0. ☐ Other:						
	·					



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants have substantively amended the claims. The arguments are drawn to the amended claims. It is no now suggested that the claims would be rejected if the claims, in their present from were properly before the Office. Unfortunately on the merits is closed and as such the arguments are not addressed at this time because the amended claims are not currently rejected and ar not properly before the Office.

RANDY GULAYON JOKI SUPERVISORY PACE OF AMINER

TECHNOLOGY CLINICH 1700